

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,410	10/02/2003	Pascal Andre Joseph Robitaille	AF141/2003 8468		
7590 06/12/2006		EXAMINER			
Carrithers Law	Office	BIDWELL, JAMES R			
1 Paragon Cente		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Louisville, KY	40205	3651			
		DATE MAN ED. 06/12/2006			

DATE MAILED: 06/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)				
		Offic Action Summary	10/677,410		ROBITAILLE ET AL.				
	Offic		Examiner		Art Unit				
			James R. E	idwell	3651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 October 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 									
Disposition of Claims									
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 1	4a) Of the Claim(s) <u>1</u> Claim(s) _ Claim(s) _ Claim(s) _ Claim(s) _ The specification	cation is objected to by the Examine g(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	wn from con r election re er. epted or b)[quirement. ☐ objected to by the E					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice 3) Information	e of Reference e of Draftsper	res Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		O-152)			

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

On page 14, line 4, there should be a space between "wall" and "102.

The Examiner would like to clarify the meaning of language found in the independent claims. In the last three lines of claim 1 the leading edges of the plates are defined as being of a greater depth than those located downstream. The specification on page 13, lines 12-18 define the leading edge in terms of thickness. Consistency in describing this feature is required. The Examiner is of the opinion that thickness is more accurate as depth is more closely associated with height. The other remaining independent claims 2, 10 and 11 have the same language in terms of depth instead of the described thickness of the specification.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

Claims 1-25 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,410

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to James R.

Bidwell at telephone number (571)272-6910.

JRB

06-06-2006

JAMES R. BIDWELL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 13651

Page 3